# **Characterization of Polypharmacy in Patients with NAFLD**

### Roshani Desai<sup>1</sup>, Arun Sanyal<sup>2</sup>, Miriam Vos<sup>3</sup>, Cheryl Schoen<sup>4</sup>, Nikki Nicholson<sup>4</sup>, Miguel Malespin<sup>5</sup>, Philip Newsome<sup>6</sup>, Brent A. Neuschwander-Tetri<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Division of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, Saint Louis University, St. Louis, MO, <sup>2</sup>Division of Gastroenterology, Hepatology, Hepatology, Hepatology, Hepatology, Saint Louis, MO, <sup>2</sup>Division of Gastroenterology, Hepatology, Saint Louis, MO, <sup>2</sup>Division of Gastroenterology, Hepatology, Saint Louis, MO, <sup>2</sup>Division of Gastroenterology, Saint Louis, Saint Lo GA 30329, <sup>4</sup>TARGET PharmaSolutions, Inc., Chapel Hill, NC 27517, <sup>5</sup>Department of Health, Jacksonville, FL , <sup>6</sup>Institute of Biomedical Research, University of Birmingham, Birmingham, UK

### INTRODUCTION

- Polypharmacy in patients with NAFLD is common but the magnitude of this problem has not been described.
- It is still not clear if there are certain drugs that might accelerate or slow disease progress. • As therapies for NAFL and NASH become available, the baseline use of multiple medications may increase the risk of drug-drug interactions (DDI). Therefore, knowing the prevalence of using drugs metabolized by specific pathways may be helpful in drug development and predicting DDI.

### METHODS

- TARGET-NASH is a longitudinal observational study of participants followed at 55 sites (40 academic/15 community) in the U.S., and includes patients across the entire spectrum of NAFLD.
- Data from medical records (including narratives, labs, imaging, pathology, procedures, and outcomes) are centrally abstracted and monitored for completeness and accuracy.
- Of the 4,293 participants enrolled in TARGET–NASH, 3,671 are included in this data analysis and includes each phenotype category displayed on the results table. Medication use reviewed was within a +/-30 window of the enrollment date.
- Participants were grouped by disease severity (NAFL, NASH, NAFLD cirrhosis) as defined by pragmatic case definitions.

## **DISEASE CATEGORY DEFINITIONS**

	Confirmed by biopsy: • Steatohepatitis by Brunt criteria OR NAS total score $\geq 4$ Clinical diagnosis: • ALT > 19 U/L for adult female (22 child), > 30 U/L for adult male • Hepatic steatosis on biopsy or CT/US/MRI and; • $\geq 1$ of the following: BMI $\geq 30$ , type II diabetes, dyslipidemia
<section-header></section-header>	History of NAFLD with: 1) Liver biopsy with fibrosis stage = 4 OR 2) Liver biopsy with fibrosis stage = 3 and $1 \ge$ clinical signs of 3) 2 or more clinical signs of cirrhosis OR 4) FibroScan <sup>®</sup> elastography result $\ge$ 11 kPa
NAFL	Any participant not meeting criteria for clinical NASH or cirrho

### **STATEMENT & DISCLOSERS**

TARGET-NASH is a collaboration among academic & community investigators, the pharmaceutical industry, and NASH patient community advocates. TARGET-NASH is sponsored by TARGET PharmaSolutions, Inc. TARGET thanks the study staff, nurses, health care providers and patients at each study center for their contributions to this work. Listings of Principal Investigators and Industry Partners are available upon request by emailing info@targetpharmasolutions.com.

Roshani Desai, MD disclosures: consulting for TARGET PharmaSolutions

Brent A. Neuschwander–Tetri, MD disclosures: consulting for Allergan, Arrowhead, BMS, Consynance, Cymabay, Enanta, Gilead, Intercept, Karos, Lexicon, Madrigal, NGM, TARGET PharmaSolutions

(26 child) and;

cirrhosis OR

osis

	NAFLD Cirrhosis		NA	
SUMMARY	Adult (N = 1279)	Pediatric (N = 12)	Adult (N = 1317)	
Median Age (years)	62.0	13.5	55.0	
Gender (%) Female Male	58.9 41.1	33.3 66.7	62.2 37.8	
Race, % White Black Asian	87.6 2.7 3.7	100.0 0.0 0.0	71.9 6.8 12.5	
BMI, % >30-35	27.7	33.3	29.4	



