ID# 1590

Fibrosis Assessed by Non-Invasive Tests is Similar to Liver Biopsy for Predicting Clinical Outcomes: A TARGET-NASH Study

A. Sidney Barritt IV¹, K. Rajender Reddy², L. Michael Weiss³, Paul J. Thuluvath⁴, Andrea R. Mospan⁵, Cheryl Schoen⁵, Kenneth Cusi⁶, Arun J. Sanyal⁷

¹University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, NC; ²University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA; ³Gastro Florida, Clearwater, FL; ⁴Mercy Medical Center, Baltimore, MD; ⁵Target RWE, Durham, NC; ⁶University of Florida, Gainesville, FL; ⁷Virginia Commonwealth University, Richmond, VA

INTRODUCTION

- Liver biopsy is the standard for assessing fibrosis and diagnosing cirrhosis.
- Whether non-invasive tests (NITs) of fibrosis can predict outcomes such as decompensation events (DCC), incident ascites, variceal bleeding, hepatic encephalopathy), liver transplantation (LT), hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC), or death, as well as liver biopsy is unknown.

OBJECTIVE

• This study sought to compare compensated cirrhosis diagnosed clinically or by NITs to liver biopsy for predicting the clinical outcomes of DCC, HCC, LT and death.

METHODS

- TARGET-NASH is a longitudinal cohort of patients with NAFLD receiving care in usual clinical practice in the US¹.
- Patients diagnosed with cirrhosis by liver biopsy, NIT (FIB-4 \geq 2.67), vibration controlled transient elastography (VCTE \geq 16kPa), or a clinical algorithm were included.
- Outcomes were DCC (ascites, encephalopathy, variceal • bleeding), HCC, LT, and death.
- Patients with events prior to or within 30 days of a diagnosis of cirrhosis were excluded.
- Patients without cirrhosis were analyzed for the same outcomes as a sensitivity analysis.
- Time to first event analyses were performed to assess the performance of NITs and clinical diagnoses compared to liver biopsy.
- Hazard ratios (HR) were calculated to see if there was a different probability of events based on method of diagnosis.



The Liver Meeting, AASLD • November 13 – 16, 2020 • Virtual Event

Target RWE Health Evidence Solutions

RESULTS

- Of the 4,102 adult patients from TARGET-NASH, 1,388 had at least one diagnostic criteria for cirrhosis: biopsy (n=239), FIB-4 (n=1286), VCTE (n=199) or clinical criteria (n=1152).
- In participants with cirrhosis there were 401 (28.9%) with *de* novo DCC, 27 (1.9%) with incident HCC, 32 (2.3%) with LT and 72 deaths (5.2%). 2,714 patients without a diagnosis of cirrhosis had 112 events in total.
- The HR for patients without cirrhosis by any diagnostic criteria for first liver event was 0.21 (0.17,0.26) compared to patients with cirrhosis.
- Unadjusted HRs compared time to first liver event for FIB-4 diagnosis vs biopsy diagnosis (0.95 (0.70,1.30)), VCTE diagnosis vs biopsy diagnosis (0.44 (0.27,0.73)) and clinical diagnosis vs biopsy diagnosis (1.27 (0.92,1.74)).
- Kaplan-Meier curves to first event are in Figure 1.

CONCLUSIONS

- A diagnosis of advanced fibrosis/cirrhosis may be made by FIB-4 predicted clinical outcomes as well as biopsy.
- **Cirrhosis diagnosed by VCTE liver stiffness** ≥16 kPa may overestimate disease severity as events occurred at a lower rate.
- Patients diagnosed using clinical criteria may already have signs of portal hypertension and thus predictably experience clinical outcomes at a numerically higher rate albeit not statistically significantly greater than other
- means of diagnosis.

Importantly, patients without a diagnosis of cirrhosis suffered few events over time.

Acknowledgements and Disclosures: TARGET-NASH is a study sponsored by Target RWE. Target RWE is a health evidence solutions company headquartered in Durham, NC. The authors would like to thank all the investigators, participants, and research staff associated with TARGET-NASH. Disclosures are on file with AASLD. Target RWE would also like to thank Bruce Koch, Gilead, for contributions to this work.